

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,894	08/27/2002	Deepa Ramaswamy	200-1576	7972
22844	7590 02/04/2004		EXAMINER	
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC.			MARC COLEMAN, MARTHE Y	
00112 000	- PARKLANE TOWER: LANE BLVD.	S EAST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEARBOR	N, MI 48126		3661	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A. A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/064,894	RAMASWAMY ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marthe Y Marc-Coleman	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avertinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which it (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	tion. A proper reply to a places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exten	nsion
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate exter originally set in the final Office action	nsion n; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR) 	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying t	he
(d) They present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendme	:nt
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	9
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
10. Other:			
	Marthe y	Marc-Coleman	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) ÷

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner believes that the Mizuno patent does disclose the limitations argued by the Applicant. .